

To: Anderson, Brian[Anderson.Brian@epa.gov]
Cc: Waleko, Garland[Waleko.Garland@epa.gov]; Jones, RDavid[Jones.Rdavid@epa.gov]
From: Judkins, Donna
Sent: Thur 4/6/2017 9:22:23 PM
Subject: RE: PRA for acephate, again
103301_418159_PRA_03-21-2017_cleaned_up_4_6_2017_version.docx
103301_418159_PRA_03-21-2017_cleaned_up_4_6_2017_version.pdf
103301_418159_PRA_03-21-2017_rdj_drij.docx

Brian, et al.,

Here is the fixed version of the acephate doc if anyone wants to check through it again. I also attached a track-changes version so anyone can look up to see what has changed (R.David – this version has your changes, even though it is dated 3/21 – I just wanted to make a track-changes version from that doc)– quite a bit throughout the document is changed, mostly to drop off the highest rates, but also the appendices are fixed (I think). Anyway, the track-changes version is pretty rough – I had to clean up some tables and weirdness when I accepted the changes, so if you need to do anything to the doc, please use the clean version. I also pdfed it in case we are done and ready to send in.

Thanks.

Donna

From: Anderson, Brian
Sent: Wednesday, April 05, 2017 2:28 PM
To: Judkins, Donna <Judkins.Donna@epa.gov>
Cc: Waleko, Garland <Waleko.Garland@epa.gov>; Jones, RDavid <Jones.Rdavid@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: PRA for acephate, again

Thanks Donna! I think acephate should be done first.

However, once you finish it up, please let me and Garland know – don't sign it yet.

Thanks,

Brian

From: Judkins, Donna
Sent: Wednesday, April 05, 2017 2:27 PM
To: Anderson, Brian <Anderson.Brian@epa.gov>
Cc: Waleko, Garland <Waleko.Garland@epa.gov>; Jones, RDavid <Jones.Rdavid@epa.gov>
Subject: Re: PRA for acephate, again

Actually, now that I get into it more, it isn't a much more work as I originally thought. One of the uses I thought was changed was not (just moved) and the main one (building perimeters) drops off as the highest one and so I can just remove that without actually re-running TREX, but stay tuned. R. David already recalculated the aquatic RQs (thanks R. David!). I will get it done as soon as possible -- hopefully tomorrow.

From: Judkins, Donna
Sent: Wednesday, April 5, 2017 2:05 PM
To: Anderson, Brian
Cc: Waleko, Garland; Jones, RDavid
Subject: Re: PRA for acephate, again

Brian,

A couple of these application rates were also ones I used for the terrestrial calculations and so it will take a couple of days to get the document back ready for signature. I need to redo TREX, some figures, and conclusions.

Not sure which you need soonest, this or the isoxaflutole synergism memo. I am supposed to talk to Ed tomorrow about those studies, but he may not have gotten around to it.

Thanks.

Donna

From: Jones, RDavid

Sent: Wednesday, April 5, 2017 10:59 AM
To: Judkins, Donna
Cc: Anderson, Brian; Waleko, Garland
Subject: PRA for acephate, again

Donna:

Attached is the revised PRA. Derek Berwald caught that the rate was wrong for the perimeter use on commercial buildings at 1.2 oz of product per 100 gal rather than 1.2 lb, equivalent to 10.16 lb/acre.

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

I have updated the DW assessment in parallel with the PRA, and I will send it around for signature when the PRA is ready.

Thanks, and sorry I have to put you through this again,

RDJ